

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/782,563 | 02/18/2004 | Venkatesh Veeraraghavan | 50037.238US01 | 3537 |
| 27488 7590 03/22/2010 MERCHANT & GOULD (MICROSOFT) P.O. BOX 2903 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | GUPTA, MUKTESH G | |
| MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2444 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/22/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | 10/782,563 | VEERARAGHAVAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Examiner-initiated interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Muktesh G. Gupta | 2444 | | | | |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Pending</u> | | | | | | |
| (1) Muktesh G. Gupta. | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Rene Pereyra (45800)</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: 1 March 2010 | Time: <u>1430</u> | | | | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican | ant's representative) | | | | | |
| Part I. | | | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | | | | |
| Claims discussed: 16 | | | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: No | | | | | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE | DAL MATURE OF WHAT WAS | DISCUSSED: | | | | |
| On authorization from SPE William Vaughn contacted applicant' media to computer readable Non-transitory media, to which App | s Attorney for Examiner's Amendn | ent to change computer storage | | | | |
| Part III. | | | | | | |
| ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | | | | |
| /William C. Vaughn, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2444 | | | | | | |
| | Applicant/Applicant's Representat | ivo Cionotoro - if omnocuisto) | | | | |
| (2 | ъррисани <i>г</i> ъррисані в кергезепіат | ive aignature – ir appropriate) | | | | |